

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Confirmation No. 2339

Docket No. 2002 0022A

Serial No. 10/046,172 : Group Art Unit 2165

Filed January 16, 2002 : Examiner Samuel G. Rimell

A COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM FOR RETRIEVING INSTRUCTION FILES FROM A SERVER

Mail Stop: AF

RESPONSE UNDER 37. CFR 1.116

Corres. and Mail

EXPEDITED PROCEDURE

EXAMINING GROUP 2165

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Satoshi INAMI et al.

THE COMMISSIONER IS AUTHORIZED TO CHARGE ANY DEFICIENCY IN THE FEES FOR THIS PAPER TO DEPOSIT ACCOUNT NO. 23-0975

Sir:

In view of the following remarks, reconsideration of the rejections set forth in the Office Action of January 24, 2005 is respectfully requested.

In response to a first Office Action dated April 2, 2004, the original claims were cancelled and replaced with new claims 15-34 in the Amendment filed July 2, 2004. In the outstanding final Office Action, the Examiner rejected all of the new claims as being anticipated by the Lenz reference (USP 6,029,196). In other words, the Examiner set forth the same grounds for rejection as initially set forth in the first Office Action. However, it appears that the Examiner is mis-applying the pending claims to the Lenz reference. Therefore, for the reasons discussed below, the Examiner's rejections are traversed, and it is respectfully submitted that claims 15-34 are clearly patentable over the prior art of record.

Independent claims 15, 21, 27, and 31 are presently pending in this application, and the following features are recited in each of the independent claims:

- (1) An instruction file is retrieved from a server by a communications terminal;
- (2) An application is executed according to instructions contained in the instruction file so as to *generate* data; and

AF